

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/871,458	05/31/2001	Philip Shafer	1014-007US01	9813
28863	7590 10/21/2004	•	EXAMINER	
SHUMAKER & SIEFFERT, P. A. 8425 SEASONS PARKWAY			DINH, KHANH Q	
SUITE 105	NS PARKWAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST. PAUL, N	MN 55125		2151	

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/87	1,458	SHAFER, PHILIP	SHAFER, PHILIP			
		Exami	ner	Art Unit				
		Khanh	Dinh	2151				
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on	the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	dress			
Period fo								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty (i) period for reply is specified above, the maximum s re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nomunication. 30) days, a reply within the tatutory period will apply are y will, by statute, cause the	o event, however, may a statutory minimum of thi nd will expire SIX (6) MOI application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>31 May 200</i> 1	1.					
,	·		D)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□								
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims		•					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☐ Claim(s) <u>1,2,14,15,27 and 28</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>3-13,16-26 and 29-38</u> is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or electio	n requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10)[10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any object	ection to the drawing((s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner.	Note the attache	d Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have t	een received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have t	peen received in A	Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies	, ·		n received in this National	Stage			
* 5	application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	· ·	' ''	t received.	,			
				.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
`	•							
Attachmen					•			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I	PTO-048)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or			Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date		6)	<u></u> ·				

Art Unit: 2151

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-38 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 14, 15, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ozzie et al., US pat. No.6,640,241 in view of Rosenthal, US pat. No.5,964,844.

As to claims 1 and 14, Ozzie discloses a method comprising: establishing a secure connection between a network router (318 fig.3) and a client (311 fig.3); initiating a command process on the network router and receiving from the client a command (processing requests from clients, see fig.3, col.10 line 56 to col.11 line 20); and in response to the command, accepting commands encoded in accordance with an extensible markup language (using XML in processing requests, see fig.4, col.11 line 22 to col.12 line 54).

Ozzie dose not specifically disclose using a command line interface (CLI). However, Rosenthal in the same network environment discloses a command line interface (CLI) (see col.3 line 64 to col.4 line 38). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary

Art Unit: 2151

skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Rosenthal's command line interface into the computer system of Ozzie to identify a command object because it would have enabled users to identify the command object in the command buffer and minimized the need to maintain the code located in different places in a communications network.

As to claims 2 and 15, Ozzie discloses accepting commands comprises replacing the CLI process with a management server process that provides an extensible markup language-based application programming interface (API) to the client (processing data using APIs, see col.12 lines 12-53 and col.13 lines 9-67).

As to claim 27, Ozzie discloses a network router management interface comprising: a secure protocol module that provides a secure connection between a network router (318 fig.3) and a client (311 fig.3) a command module that receives commands from a client (processing requests from clients, see fig.3, col.10 line 56 to col.11 line 20); and a management server module (310 fig.3) that receives the commands from the module and in response to one of the commands, accepts commands encoded in accordance with an extensible markup language (using XML in processing requests, see fig.4, col.11 line 22 to col.12 line 54).

Ozzie dose not specifically disclose using a command line interface (CLI). However, Rosenthal in the same network environment discloses a command line interface (CLI) (see col.3 line 64 to col.4 line 38). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary

Art Unit: 2151

skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Rosenthal's command line interface into the computer system of Ozzie to identify a command object because it would have enabled users to identify the command object in the command buffer and minimized the need to maintain the code located in different places in a communications network.

As to claim 28, Ozzie discloses that the management server module accepts the commands by replacing the CLI module with the management server module and providing an extensible markup language-based application programming interface (API) to the client (processing data using APIs, see col.12 lines 12-53 and col.13 lines 9-67).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 3-13, 16-26 and 29-38 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

None of the cited prior art discloses a network router management interface compising a combination of: receiving from the client, following the replacement of the CLI process, configuration requests and operational requests encoded with extensible markup language tags; accessing a network management interface schema that maps the extensible markup language tags to configuration and operational information

Art Unit: 2151

associated with software modules running on the network router, the software modules including a chassis software module that defines an inventory of components in the network router chassis, a device configuration software module that defines a physical configuration of the network router, and a routing protocol module that administers protocols supported by the network router; parsing the configuration requests and the operational requests; accessing the corresponding configuration and operational information associated with the chassis software module, the device configuration software module, and the routing control software module according to the network management interface schema; and emitting replies encoded with extensible markup language tags according to the network management interface schema.

Other prior art cited

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. Davidson et al, US pat. No.6,671,869.
 - b. Gelvin et al, US pat. No.6,735,630.
 - c. Goldberg et al, US pat. No.6,434,543.
 - d. Wollrath et al., US pat. No.6,654,793.

Art Unit: 2151 -

Conclusion

6. Claims 1, 2, 14, 15, 27 and 28 are rejected.

7. Claims 3-13, 16-26 and 29-38 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all

of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Khanh Dinh whose telephone number is (703) 308-

8528. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 A.m.

to 5:00 P.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Zarni Maung, can be reached on (703) 308-6687. The fax phone number

for this group is (703) 872-9306.

A shortened statutory period for reply is set to expire THREE months from the

mailing date of this communication. Failure to response within the period for response

will cause the application to become abandoned (35 U. S. C. Sect. 133). Extensions of

time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(A).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is

(703) 305 -9600.

Khanh Dinh

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2151

10/16/2004